

Better Together: TNVR and Public Health

G. Robert Weedon, DVM, MPH

Community Cat Surgeon

TLC PetSnip



- TNVR: Trap-Neuter-Vaccinate-Return
- Emphasis on the "V"
 - Vaccination
 - Emphasizes the public health aspect of TNVR programs
 - Specifically, vaccinating against rabies as a means of protecting the health of the public



 A growing body of evidence indicates that trap-neuter-return (TNVR) is not only effective at reducing community cat numbers, but that such reductions are sustainable over extended periods.



This figure shows an 85% decline from the number of cats present on the UCF campus in 1996 due to a long-term TNVR program.





Back to School: An Updated Evaluation of the Effectiveness of a Long-Term Trap-Neuter-Return Program on a University's Free-Roaming Cat Population. Animals 2019, 9, 768

- The only *humane* way to deal with the problem of community (feral, freeroaming) cats.
- When properly applied, TNVR has been shown to help control/reduce the population of free-roaming cats.





J.K. Levy et al./The Veterinary Journal 201 (2014) 269-274



rabies prevention



• Who knows what a Venn Diagram is?





Animal TNVR Public Public Health Rabies



Think of TNVR as a Slide Rule...

- Imagine being the slide rule manufacturer the day that the pocket calculator came out...
- TNVR is like the slide rule.
 Something better will come along, but until that time, it is better than doing nothing...





Think of TNVR as a Slide Rule...

- TNVR is the best public policy for community cats; it:
 - Reduces shelter intake and euthanasia
 - Reduces complaint calls to municipality
 - Saves taxpayer money
 - Supported by ~70% of the American public





Wolf, P. J. (2015, March 17). New Survey Reveals Widespread Support for Trap-Neuter-Return. Retrieved from https://faunalytics.org/new-survey-reveals-widespread-support-for-trap-neuter-return/

- Why the focus on public health?
 - Recent attacks on TNVR have focused on free-roaming cats being a threat to the public's health
 - There is a lot of misinformation out there...

The Devastating Consequences of a Cuddly Killer

Peter P. Marra and Chris Santella



- CDC annual surveillance data
 - 21,764 cats tested for rabies in 2018, of which 241 (1.1%) were confirmed rabid.
 - This represented a 12.7% decrease in the number of rabid cats, compared with the 276 reported in 2017
 - The percentage of cats tested for rabies that were confirmed to be rabid (1.1%) was similar to the mean percentage during the previous 5 years (1.2%; 95% Cl, 1.1% to 1.2%)

Public Veterinary Medicine: Public Health

Rabies surveillance in the United States during 2018

Xiaoyue Ma MPH Ben P. Monroe MPH Julie M. Cleaton MPH Lillian A. Orciari MS Crystal M. Gigante PhD Jordona D. Kirby MS Richard B. Chipman MS Christine Fehlner-Gardiner PhD Veronica Gutiérrez Cedillo PhD Brett W. Petersen MS

Victoria Olson PhD

Ryan M. Wallace DVM

From the Poxvirus and Rabies Branch, Division of High-Consequence Pathogens and Pathology National ex, CDC, 1600 Clifton RA HE, Attana, GA 3033 (Ma, Monroe, Cleaton, Orciari, Gigante, Petersen, Olson, Vallace); Willife Services, APHIS, USDA, 59 Chenell Dr, Ster, Concord, NH 03301 (Kirby, Chipman); Center of Expertise for Rabies, Canadian Food Impaction of State (Services, APHIS, USDA, 59 Chenell Dr, Ster, Concord, NH 03301 (Kirby, Chipman); Center of Expertise for Rabies, Canadian Food Impaction Canada (Febliner-Cardinore); Centro Nacional de Proramara Preventovy Control de Enfermedades, Secretaria de Salud de Mexico, Avenida Benjamin Franklin L32, Escandon ISCC, 11800 (Higue Hidalgo, CDMX, Mexico (Guaderrez Calcion); and Oak Naige Institute Univer, TN 3783 (Classon); 1995 Bettel Valley RA, Oak

Address correspondence to Ms. Ma (HJV4@cdc.gov) This article has not undergone external peer review.

The present report provides detailed information ated events in the United States during 2018 as well as a brief update of rabies in 2019. Summaries of 2018 rabies surveillance data for Canada and Mexico are also provided.

Rabies is a viral zoonosis caused by any of the 15 recognized viruses of the genus Lyssavirus. Globally, however, the rabies virus is the leading cause of rabies and is responsible for an estimated 59,000 human deaths annually:1 Although all mammals are susceptible to rabies virus infection, certain reservoir species are responsible for maintaining enzootic transmission. There is great diversity in the global

ABBREVIATIONS

1	Confidence interval	
RV	Oral rabies vaccine	
EP	Postexposure prophylaxis	
VV	Rabies virus variant	
VV	Rabies virus variant	

OBJECTIVE To describe rabies and rabies-related events occurring during 2018 in the United States.

ANIMALS

All animals submitted for laboratory diagnosis of rabies in the United States during 2018.

PROCEDURES

State and territorial public health departments provided data on animals submitted for rabies testing in 2018. Data were analyzed temporally and geographically to assess trends in domestic animal and wildlife rabies cases.

RESULTS

During 2018, 54 jurisdictions reported 4,951 rabid animals to the CDC, representing an 11.2% increase from the 4,454 rabid animals reported in 2017. Texas (n = 695 [14.0%]), Virginia (382 [7.7%]), Pennsylvania (356 [7.2%)), North Carolina (332 [6.7%]), Colorado (328 [6.6%]), and New York (320 [6.5%)) together accounted for almost half of all rabid animals reported in 2018. Of the total reported rabies cases, 4,589 (92.7%) involved wild iffe, with bats (n = 1.635 [3.3%]), accords (1499 [30.3%)), skunks (1,004 [20.3%]), and foxes (357 [7.2%]) being the major species. Rabid cats (n = 241 [4.9%]) and foxes (351 [7.2%]) being the major species. Rabid cats (n = 241 [4.9%]) and foxes (351 [7.2%]) being the major species. Rabid cats (n = Tables deaths were reported in 2018, Compared with the number of samples submitted for testing in 2018, compared with the number submitted in 2017. Three human rabies deaths were reported with 2 in 2018.

CONCLUSIONS AND CLINICAL RELEVANCE

The overall number of animal rabies cases increased from 2017 to 2018. Laboratory diagnosis of rabies in animals is critical to ensure that human rabies postexposure prophylaxis is administered judiciously. (J Am Vet Med Assoc 2020;256:195–208)

> epidemiology of rabies and distribution of rabies virus reservoir species. Rabies and nonrabies lyssaviruses are found in the Americas, Europe, Asia, Africa, and Australia. At least 30 reservoir species have been identified, consisting primarily of terrestrial carnivores, hematophagous bats, and insectivorous bats. Globally, the canine RVV, maintained by domestic dogs, presents the greatest human health risk and has been implicated in > 99% of human rabies deaths²

In the United States, national canine rabies control efforts began in earnest in the early 1940s and led to elimination of the canine RVV from the country during the late 1970s. Since then, wildlife has accounted for > 90% of all rabid animals. The primary reservoir species responsible for maintaining RVVs in the United States are bats (multiple RVVs in multiple species), raccoons (raccoon RVV), striped skunks (south central, north central, and California skunk RVVs), gray foxes (Arizona gray fox RVV), arctic foxes (arctic fox RVV), and mongooses (dog-mongoose RVV

JAVMA | JAN 15, 2020 | VOL 256 | NO. 2



- CDC annual surveillance data
 - During 2018, antemortem samples from 23 human patients in 17 states and US territories suspected to have rabies were submitted to the CDC for laboratory diagnostic testing, of which 3 (13.0%) were confirmed to be positive
 - Nowhere does CDC list the cat as a source of exposure for a person being infected with rabies during 2018
 - The latest year for which reporting exists



- CDC annual surveillance data
 - The last documented case of human rabies from exposure to a rabid cat was in 1975



Sung, J. H., Hayano, M., Okagaki, T., & Mastri, A. (1976). A Case of Human Rabies and Ultrastructure of the Negri Body. *Journal of Neuropathology & Experimental Neurology*, 35(5), 541–559.

- CDC annual surveillance data
 - Distribution of major rabies virus variants in US and Puerto Rico, 2014–2018



Every Kitty, Every City FLORIDA Miley Cat Allies

- CDC annual surveillance data
 - Reported cases of rabies in raccoons, by county, 2018



Alley Cat Allies

- CDC annual surveillance data
 - Reported cases of rabies in cats, by county, 2018



S Alley Cat Allies

CDC annual surveillance data





Every Kitty, Every City FLORIDA

S Alley Cat Allies

- TNVR: Trap-Neuter-Vaccinate-Return
- Emphasis on the "V"
 - Vaccination
 - Emphasizes the public health aspect of TNVR programs
 - Vaccinating against rabies as a means of protecting the health of the public
 - Vaccinating Community Cats creates a barrier to the disease between wildlife and domestic animals and people



- Herd Immunity
 - The resistance to the spread of a contagious disease within a population that results if a sufficiently high proportion of individuals are immune to the disease
 - Especially through vaccination
 - If a large percentage of the population is immune, the entire population is likely to be protected
 - Not just those who are immune





Figure adapted from Jekel, J. F. Epidemiology, Biostatistics, and Preventive Medicine. 3rd ed., 421 (Elsevier Health Sciences, 2007).



What happens if two vaccinated cats (shown in orange) are trapped and removed, and replaced with susceptible cats...?







Prior to vaccination

- Some cats had protective serum antibody titers
 - FPV (33%)
 - FHV (21%)
 - FCV (64%)
 - RV (3%)

Response of feral cats to vaccination at the time of neutering

Sarah M. Fischer, BS: Cassie M. Quest, BS: Edward J. Dubovi, 1960; Rolan D. Davis, MS: Sylvia J. Tucker, BS; John A. Friary, MS; P. Cynda Crawford, DVM, PhD; Teri A. Ricke, BS; Julie K. Levy, DVM, PhD, DACVIM

> Objective-To determine whether administration of inactivated virus or modified-live virus (MLV) vaccines to feral cats at the time of neutering induces protective serum antivir antibody titers

Design-Prospective study Animals-61 feral cats included in a trap-neuter-return program in Florida.

Procedures—Each cat received vaccines against feline panleukopenia virus (FPV), feline herpes virus (FHV), feline calicivirus (FCV), FeLV, and rabies virus (RV). Immediately on com pletion of surgery, vaccines that contained inactivated RV and FeLV antigens and either MLV or inactivated FPV, FHV, and FCV antigens were administered. Titers of antiviral antibodies (except those against FeLV) were assessed in serum samples obtained immediately price to surgery and approximately 10 weeks later.

Results—Prior to vaccination, some of the cats had protective serum antibody titers against FPV (23%), FHV (21%), FCV (64%), and RV (2%). Following vaccination, the overall proportion of cats with protective serum antiviral antibody titers increased (FPV [90%] FHV [56%], FCV [93%], and RV [98%]). With the exception of the FHV vaccine, there were no differences in the proportions of cats protected with inactivated virus versus ML

Conclusions and Clinical Relevance-Results suggest that exposure to FPV, FHV, and FCV is common among feral cats and that a high proportion of cats are susceptible to RV infection. Feral cats appeared to have an excellent immune response following vaccination at the time of neutering. Incorporation of vaccination into trap-neuternetum programs is likely to protect the health of individual cats and possibly reduce the disease burden in the community. (*JAm* Vet *Med Assoc* 2007;230:52–58)

CPV

 $F^{\rm eral \ cats}$ have successfully adapted to almost every ecologic niche in the world, including rural and urban settings, extremes of desert and Antarctic condi-tions, and areas populated by or devoid of humans.¹ The population of unowned feral cats in the United States is suspected to rival that of the owned cat population (the tter estimated as 90.5 million in 2006)2 and may be the most important source of cat overpopulation.³ The impact of feral cats on animal welfare, public health, and the environment is an increasingly controversial topic, and there is little agreement among policy makers and opinion leaders regarding the best methods for the control of feral cat populations.^{1,4-7} Attempted control of feral cat populations through

TNR programs is an increasingly popular alternative to mass euthanasia.⁸ These programs involve capture and neutering of the cats, followed by their return to their colonies to live out their normal life spans. Depend-

rom the Department of Small Animal Clinical Sciences, College o errent inte Department of sum Anima Anima Sciences, Conge of Veetmary Moham. University of Ficials, admissible, F.J. 3610 (Fischer, Quest, Tucker, Friary, Crawford, Levy); the AminU Hieldh Diagnostic Carter, College of Vertinary Medicine, Morses State and Patholology, College of Veetmary Medicine, Karses State and Patholology, College of Veetmary Medicine, Karses State University Manhattan, KS 66506 (Davis, Ricke). The authors thank Maury Swee, Michael Reese, Erin Patterson, Kristin MacDonald, Ray Smith, Chi Frost, Susan M. Moore, Lindsay Smith, Jen Regis, Linda Wilks, Terry Darling, and Beth Orcutt for

correspondence to Dr. Levy.

52 Scientific Reports: Original Study

JAVMA, Vol 230, No. 1, January 1, 2007

Every Kitty, Every City **FLORIDA** Alley Cat Allies

Fischer, S. M., Quest, C. M., Dubovi, E. J., Davis, R. D., Tucker, S. J., Friary, J. A., ... Levy, J. K. (2007). Response of feral cats to vaccination at the time of neutering. Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association, 230(1), 52–58.

Trap-neuter-return MLV Modified-live virus TKX Tiletamine, zolazepan ketamine, and xylazir Rabies virus Feline panleukopenia virus Feline herpesvirus Feline calicivirus FVRCP-FeLV vaccine Multivalent vaccine and Fel V IQ range

against FPV, FHV, FCV, The range from the 25th to the 75th percentiles of the data Canine distemper virus Canine parvovirus

ing on the program involved, a variety of other services may also be provided for the cats, including assessment for infectious diseases, treatment of illnesses and injuries, vaccination, regular feeding, parasite treatment, and removal of socialized cats for adoption.⁸⁹ Many public health care guidelines concerning

both humans and animals advise against vaccine administration during anesthesia or surgery,^{10,11} whereas some guidelines do not mention vaccination in these



- Following vaccination
 - Overall proportion of cats with protective serum antiviral antibody titers increased
 - FPV (90%)
 - FHV (56%)
 - FCV (93%)
 - RV (98%)

Response of feral cats to vaccination at the time of neutering

Sarah M. Fischer, вs; Cassie M. Quest, вs; Edward J. Dubovi, ию; Rolan D. Davis, мs; Sylvia J. Tucker, вs; John A. Friary, мs; P. Cynda Crawford, дvм, ию; Teri A. Ricke, вs; Julie K. Levy, дvм, ию, дасvим

Design—Prospective study. Animals—61 feral cats included in a trap-neuter-return program in Florida.

Procedures—Each cat received vaccines equinat feline panleukopenia virus (FPV), feline hepres vinis (FFV). feline calcivinus (FCV), FellV, and tables virus (FFV). Immediately on completion of surgery, vaccines that contained inactivated RV and FelV antigens and either MUV or inactivated FFV, FHV, and FCV antigens were administered. There of antiviral antibodies (except those against Fel/V) were assessed in serum samples obtained immediately prior to surgery and approximately 10 weeks later.

Results—Prior to vaccination, some of the cats had protective serum antibody titers against FPV (356), FPU (316), FU (545%), and FV (356), FDI (316), FU (356), FU (356), FV (3

Conclusions and Clinical Relevance—Results suggest that exposure to FPV, FHV, and FCV is common among fieral care and that a high proportion of casts are susceptible to FV infection. Feral casts appeared to have an excellent immune response following vaccination at the time of neutrieng. Incorporation of vaccination into trap-neutereturem, traprame is likely to protect the health of individual casts and possibly reduce the disease burden in the community. UAAN Vet Med Assoc 2007;230:52–58)

MLV

TKX

IQ range

CP\

EVRCP-FelV vaccing

Attempted control of teral cat populations through TNR programs is an increasingly popular alternative to mass cuthanasia[®] These programs involve capture and neutering of the cats, followed by their return to their colonies to live out their normal life spans. Depend-

From the Department of Saull Animal Chined Sciences, College of Venetmary Hochen, Unwerzuy et Perdia, Goimenelle, El 35810 (Stecher, Quest, Tocker, Franç, Czeroforl, Levy) the Animal Health Dignostic Cruter, College of Ventmary Moldmic, Corroll Unwersty, Ilduca, NY 14952 (Diblovi), and Department of Dignostic Medicine and Patholology College of Ventmary Moldmic, Karoll Unwersty, Induca, NY 14952 (College of Ventmary Moldmic, Karoll Science, France State), and Science Science (Science), and Science State Unaversity Manilanta, IS 45050 (Divis, Roke), mith, Jen Reige, Linda Wills, Jerrey Draing, and Beh Oreau for

Smith, Jen Regis, Linda Wilks, Terry Darling, and Beth technical assistance. Address correspondence to Dr. Levy.

52 Scientific Reports: Original Study

ministration during anesthesia or surgery,^{10,11} whereas some guidelines do not mention vaccination in these JAVI/A, Vol 230, No. 1, Jenuary 1, 2007

Many public health care guidelines concerning both humans and animals advise against vaccine ad-

ing on the program involved, a variety of other service: may also be provided for the cats, including assessmen for infectious diseases, treatment of illnesses and injuries, vaccination, regular feeding, parasite treatment and removal of socialized cats for adoption.^{8,9}

Trap-neuter-return

Modified-live virus

Feline herpesvirus Feline calicivirus

Multivalent vaccin-

Canine parvovirus

against FPV, FHV, FCV, and FeLV

The range from the 25th to the 75th percentiles of the data Canine distemper virus

Rabies virus Feline panleukopenia

virus

Tiletamine, zolazepar

ketamine, and xylazir

Every Kitty, Every City FLORIDA

Fischer, S. M., Quest, C. M., Dubovi, E. J., Davis, R. D., Tucker, S. J., Friary, J. A., ... Levy, J. K. (2007). Response of feral cats to vaccination at the time of neutering. *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association*, 230(1), 52–58.

Conclusions

 Feral cats appeared to have excellent immune response following vaccination at the time of neutering

Response of feral cats to vaccination at the time of neutering

Sarah M. Fischer, BS: Cassie M. Quest, BS: Edward J. Dubovi, 1960; Rolan D. Davis, MS: Sylvia J. Tucker, BS; John A. Friary, MS; P. Cynda Crawford, DVM, PhD; Teri A. Ricke, BS; Julie K. Levy, DVM, PhD, DACVIM

> Objective-To determine whether administration of inactivated virus or modified-live virus (MLV) vaccines to feral cats at the time of neutering induces protective serum antivir antibody titers

Design-Prospective study Animals-61 feral cats included in a trap-neuter-return program in Florida.

Procedures—Each cat received vaccines against feline panleukopenia virus (FPV), feline herpes virus (FHV), feline calicivirus (FCV), FeLV, and rabies virus (RV). Immediately on com pletion of surgery, vaccines that contained inactivated RV and FeLV antigens and either MLV or inactivated FPV, FHV, and FCV antigens were administered. Titers of antiviral antibodies except those against FeLV) were assessed in serum samples obtained immediately price to surgery and approximately 10 weeks later.

Results—Prior to vaccination, some of the cats had protective serum antibody titers against FPV (23%), FHV (21%), FCV (64%), and RV (2%). Following vaccination, the overall portion of cats with protective serum antiviral antibody titers increased (FPV [90%] FHV [56%], FCV [93%], and RV [98%]). With the exception of the FHV vaccin were no differences in the proportions of cats protected with inactivated virus versus ML

Conclusions and Clinical Relevance-Results suggest that exposure to FPV, FHV, and FCV is common among feral cats and that a high proportion of cats are susceptible to RV infection. Feral cats appeared to have an excellent immune response following vaccination and the time of neutering. Incorporation of vaccination into rap-neutering reconstraints is likely to protect the health of individual cats and possibly reduce the disease burden in the community. (J Am Vet Med Assoc 2007;230:52–58)

IQ range

CPV

ral cats have successfully adapted to almost ever ecologic niche in the world, including rural and urban settings, extremes of desert and Antarctic condi-tions, and areas populated by or devoid of humans.¹ The MLV TKX population of unowned feral cats in the United States is uspected to rival that of the owned cat population (the tter estimated as 90.5 million in 2006)2 and may be **FPV** the most important source of cat overnopulation.3 The impact of feral cats on animal welfare, public health and the environment is an increasingly controversial topic, and there is little agreement among policy makers and opinion leaders regarding the best methods for the control of feral cat populations.^{1,4-7} Attempted control of feral cat populations through

TNR programs is an increasingly popular alternative to mass euthanasia.⁸ These programs involve capture and neutering of the cats, followed by their return to their colonies to live out their normal life spans. Depend-

rom the Department of Small Animal Clinical Sciences, College o retermory Modicine, University of Florida, Gamesville, FL 32610 Fischer, Quest, Tucker, Friary, Crawford, Levy); the Animal Health Jiggnostic Center, College of Veterinary Medicine, Cornell University. Disgnostic Center, College of veterinary Medicine, Cornell University, lihaca, NY 14852 (Dubori); and Department of Diagnostic Medicine and Pathobiology, College of Veterinary Medicine, Karsas State University Manhattan, KS 66506 (Davis, Ricke). the authors thank Maury Swee, Michael Reese, Erin Patterson, Kristin MacDonald, Ray Smith, Chi Frost, Susan M. Moore, Lindsay Smith, Jen Regis, Linda Wilks, Terry Darling, and Beth Orcutt for

rechnical assistance prrespondence to Dr. Levy

Scientific Reports: Original Study

JAVMA, Vol 230, No. 1, January 1, 2007



Fischer, S. M., Quest, C. M., Dubovi, E. J., Davis, R. D., Tucker, S. J., Friary, J. A., ... Levy, J. K. (2007). Response of feral cats to vaccination at the time of neutering. Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association, 230(1), 52-58.

Trap-neuter-return Modified-live virus Tiletamine, zolazepar ketamine, and xylazi Rabies virus Feline panleukopenia virus Feline herpesvirus Feline calicivirus EVRCP-FelV vaccing Multivalent vaccinand Fel V the data

against FPV, FHV, FCV, The range from the 25th to the 75th percentiles of Canine distemper virus Canine parvovirus

ing on the program involved, a variety of other services may also be provided for the cats, including assessmen for infectious diseases, treatment of illnesses and injuries, vaccination, regular feeding, parasite treatment and removal of socialized cats for adoption.^{8,9} Many public health care guidelines concerning

both humans and animals advise against vaccine ad ministration during anesthesia or surgery,^{10,11} whereas some guidelines do not mention vaccination in these

Conclusions

- Incorporation of vaccination into TNR programs is likely to protect the health of individual cats
 - And possibly reduce the disease burden in the community

Response of feral cats to vaccination at the time of neutering

Sarah M. Fischer, BS: Cassie M. Quest, BS: Edward J. Dubovi, 1960; Rolan D. Davis, MS: Sylvia J. Tucker, BS; John A. Friary, MS; P. Cynda Crawford, DVM, PhD; Teri A. Ricke, BS; Julie K. Levy, DVM, PhD, DACVIM

> Objective-To determine whether administration of inactivated virus or modified-live viru (MLV) vaccines to feral cats at the time of neutering induces protective serum antivir antibody titers

Design-Prospective study Animals-61 feral cats included in a trap-neuter-return program in Florida.

Procedures—Each cat received vaccines against feline panleukopenia virus (FPV), feline herpes virus (FHV), feline calicivirus (FCV), FeLV, and rabies virus (RV). Immediately on com pletion of surgery, vaccines that contained inactivated RV and FeLV antigens and either MLV or inactivated FPV, FHV, and FCV antigens were administered. Titers of antiviral antibodies (except those against FeLV) were assessed in serum samples obtained immediately price to surgery and approximately 10 weeks later.

Results—Prior to vaccination, some of the cats had protective serum antibody titler: against FPV (23%), FHV (21%), FCV (64%), and RV (2%). Following vaccination, the overal proportion of cats with protective serum antiviral antibody titers increased (FPV [90%] FHV [56%], FCV [93%], and RV [98%]). With the exception of the FHV vaccine, there were no differences in the proportions of cats protected with inactivated virus versus ML

Conclusions and Clinical Relevance-Results suggest that exposure to FPV, FHV, and FCV is common among feral cats and that a high proportion of cats are susceptible to RV infection. Feral cats appeared to have an excellent immune response following vaccination and the time of neutering. Incorporation of vaccination into rap-neutering reconstraints is likely to protect the health of individual cats and possibly reduce the disease burden in the community. (J Am Vet Med Assoc 2007;230:52–58)

MLV

TKX

FPV

IQ range

CPV

FVRCP-FeLV vaccine

eral cats have successfully adapted to almost ever Feral cats have succession and under the world, including rural and unban settings, extremes of desert and Antarctic condi-tions, and areas populated by or devoid of humans.¹ The population of unowned feral cats in the United States is uspected to rival that of the owned cat population (the tter estimated as 90.5 million in 2006)2 and may be the most important source of cat overpopulation.3 The impact of feral cats on animal welfare, public health and the environment is an increasingly controversial topic, and there is little agreement among policy makers and opinion leaders regarding the best methods for the control of feral cat populations.^{1,4-7} Attempted control of feral cat populations through

TNR programs is an increasingly popular alternative to mass euthanasia.⁸ These programs involve capture and neutering of the cats, followed by their return to their colonies to live out their normal life spans. Depend-

rom the Department of Small Animal Clinical Sciences, College o errent inte Department of sum Anima Anima Sciences, Conge of Veetmary Moham. University of Ficials, admissible, F.J. 3610 (Fischer, Quest, Tucker, Friary, Crawford, Levy); the AminU Hieldh Diagnostic Carter, College of Vertinary Medicine, Morses State and Patholology, College of Veetmary Medicine, Karses State and Patholology, College of Veetmary Medicine, Karses State ries, vaccination, regular feeding, parasite treatment and removal of socialized cats for adoption.^{8,9} This rathonology, confige of vicinitaly meaning, robust state University, Manhatura, RS 6506 (Dwis, Ricke).
The authors thank Maury Swee, Michael Reese, Erin Patterson, Kristim MacDonald, Ray Smith, Chi Frost, Susan M. Moore, Lindasy Smith, Jen Regis, Linda Wilks, Terry Darling, and Beth Orcuit for

Address correspondence to Dr. Levy.

52 Scientific Reports: Original Study

JAVMA, Vol 230, No. 1, January 1, 2007

ARREVIATIONS

Trap-neuter-return

Modified-live virus

Rabies virus

virus

ing on the program involved, a variety of other services may also be provided for the cats, including assessmen for infectious diseases, treatment of illnesses and inju-

Many public health care guidelines concerning both humans and animals advise against vaccine administration during anesthesia or surgery,^{10,11} whereas

some guidelines do not mention vaccination in these

Tiletamine, zolazepar

Feline panleukopenia

Feline herpesvirus Feline calicivirus

Multivalent vaccine against FPV, FHV, FCV, and Fel V

The range from the 25th to the 75th percentiles of the data

Canine distemper virus

Canine parvovirus

ketamine, and xylazir



Fischer, S. M., Quest, C. M., Dubovi, E. J., Davis, R. D., Tucker, S. J., Friary, J. A., ... Levy, J. K. (2007). Response of feral cats to vaccination at the time of neutering. Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association, 230(1), 52-58.

Conclusions

- Vaccination of feral cats at the time of neutering may protect them for much of their remaining life span
 - Immunity that develops following vaccination has been shown to persist for a minimum of 3 to 7 years in most cats

Response of feral cats to vaccination at the time of neutering

Sarah M. Fischer, BS: Cassie M. Quest, BS: Edward J. Dubovi, 1960; Rolan D. Davis, MS: Sylvia J. Tucker, BS; John A. Friary, MS; P. Cynda Crawford, DVM, PhD; Teri A. Ricke, BS; Julie K. Levy, DVM, PhD, DACVIM

> Objective-To determine whether administration of inactivated virus or modified-live viru (MLV) vaccines to feral cats at the time of neutering induces protective serum antivir antibody titers

Design-Prospective study Animals-61 feral cats included in a trap-neuter-return program in Florida.

Procedures—Each cat received vaccines against feline panleukopenia virus (FPV), feline herpes virus (FHV), feline calicivirus (FCV), FeLV, and rabies virus (RV). Immediately on com pletion of surgery, vaccines that contained inactivated RV and FeLV antigens and either MLV or inactivated FPV, FHV, and FCV antigens were administered. Titers of antiviral antibodies (except those against FeLV) were assessed in serum samples obtained immediately price to surgery and approximately 10 weeks later.

Results—Prior to vaccination, some of the cats had protective serum antibody titers against FPV (23%), FHV (21%), FCV (64%), and RV (2%). Following vaccination, the overall proportion of cats with protective serum antiviral antibody titers increased (FPV [90%] FHV [56%], FCV [93%], and RV [98%]). With the exception of the FHV vaccine, there were no differences in the proportions of cats protected with inactivated virus versus ML

Conclusions and Clinical Relevance-Results suggest that exposure to FPV, FHV, and FCV is common among feral cats and that a high proportion of cats are susceptible to RV infection. Feral cats appeared to have an excellent immune response following vaccination and the time of neutering. Incorporation of vaccination into rap-neutering reconstraints is likely to protect the health of individual cats and possibly reduce the disease burden in the community. (J Am Vet Med Assoc 2007;230:52–58)

CPV

eral cats have successfully adapted to almost ever recologic niche in the world, including rural and urban settings, extremes of desert and Antarctic condi-tions, and areas populated by or devoid of humans.¹ The MLV TKX population of unowned feral cats in the United States is suspected to rival that of the owned cat population (the atter estimated as 90.5 million in 2006)2 and may be **FPV** the most important source of cat overpopulation.3 The impact of feral cats on animal welfare, public health and the environment is an increasingly controversial topic, and there is little agreement among policy mak-FVRCP-FeLV vaccine ers and opinion leaders regarding the best methods for the control of feral cat populations.^{1,4-7} Attempted control of feral cat populations through IQ range

TNR programs is an increasingly popular alternative to mass euthanasia.⁶ These programs involve capture and neutering of the cats, followed by their return to their colonies to live out their normal life spans. Depend-

rom the Department of Small Animal Clinical Sciences, College o errent inte Department of sum Anima Anima Sciences, Conge of Veetmary Moham. University of Ficials, admissible, F.J. 3610 (Fischer, Quest, Tucker, Friary, Crawford, Levy); the AminU Hieldh Diagnostic Carter, College of Vertinary Medicine, Morses State and Patholology, College of Veetmary Medicine, Karses State and Patholology, College of Veetmary Medicine, Karses State ries, vaccination, regular feeding, parasite treatment and removal of socialized cats for adoption.^{8,9} University Manhattan, KS 66506 (Davis, Ricke). The authors thank Maury Swee, Michael Reese, Erin Patterson, Kristin MacDonald, Ray Smith, Chi Frost, Susan M. Moore, Lindsay Smith, Jen Regis, Linda Wilks, Terry Darling, and Beth Orcutt for

correspondence to Dr. Levy.

Scientific Reports: Original Study

ministration during anesthesia or surgery,^{10,11} whereas some guidelines do not mention vaccination in these JAVMA, Vol 230, No. 1, January 1, 2007

ing on the program involved, a variety of other services may also be provided for the cats, including assessmen

for infectious diseases, treatment of illnesses and inju-

Many public health care guidelines concerning both humans and animals advise against vaccine ad

ARREVIATIONS

Trap-neuter-return

Modified-live virus

Rabies virus

virus

Tiletamine, zolazepar

Feline panleukopenia

Feline herpesvirus Feline calicivirus

Multivalent vaccine against FPV, FHV, FCV, and Fel V

The range from the 25th to the 75th percentiles of the data

Canine distemper virus

Canine parvovirus

ketamine, and xylazi

Every Kitty, Every City **FLORIDA** St. Alley Cat Allies

Fischer, S. M., Quest, C. M., Dubovi, E. J., Davis, R. D., Tucker, S. J., Friary, J. A., ... Levy, J. K. (2007). Response of feral cats to vaccination at the time of neutering. Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association, 230(1), 52–58.

Conclusions

- Ideally, feral cats should be recaptured and receive booster vaccinations, particularly with a vaccine against rabies
 - According to the guidelines established by the American **Association of Feline Practitioners**

Response of feral cats to vaccination at the time of neutering

Sarah M. Fischer, BS: Cassie M. Quest, BS: Edward J. Dubovi, 1960; Rolan D. Davis, MS: Sylvia J. Tucker, BS; John A. Friary, MS; P. Cynda Crawford, DVM, PhD; Teri A. Ricke, BS; Julie K. Levy, DVM, PhD, DACVIM

> Objective-To determine whether administration of inactivated virus or modified-live viru (MLV) vaccines to feral cats at the time of neutering induces protective serum antivi

Design-Prospective study Animals-61 feral cats included in a trap-neuter-return program in Florida

Procedures—Each cat received vaccines against feline panleukopenia virus (FPV), felin herpes virus (FHV), feline calicivirus (FCV), FeLV, and rabies virus (RV). Immediately on com pletion of surgery, vaccines that contained inactivated RV and FeLV antigens and either MLV or inactivated FPV, FHV, and FCV antigens were administered. Titers of antiviral antibodies except those against FeLV) were assessed in serum samples obtained immediately price to surgery and approximately 10 weeks later

Results—Prior to vaccination, some of the cats had protective serum antibody titers against FPV (23%), FHV (21%), FCV (64%), and RV (2%). Following vaccination, the overall portion of cats with protective serum antiviral antibody titers increased (EPV 190%) FHV [56%], FCV [93%], and RV [98%]). With the exception of the FHV vaccin were no differences in the proportions of cats protected with inactivated virus versus ML

Conclusions and Clinical Relevance-Results suggest that exposure to FPV, FHV, and FCV is common among feral cats and that a high proportion of cats are susceptible to RV infection. Feral cats appeared to have an excellent immune response following vaccination at the time of neutering. Incorporation of vaccination into trap-neuterratum programs is likely to protect the health of individual cats and possibly reduce the disease burden in the community. (*J Am Vet Med Assoc* 2007;230:52–58)

TKX

EVRCP-FelV vaccing

al cats have successfully adapted to almost even cologic niche in the world, including rural and urban settings, extremes of desert and Antarctic conditions, and areas populated by or devoid of humans.1 The MLV population of unowned feral cats in the United States is aspected to rival that of the owned cat population (the ter estimated as 90.5 million in 2006)2 and may be the most important source of cat overpopulation.3 The impact of feral cats on animal welfare, public health and the environment is an increasingly controversial topic, and there is little agreement among policy makers and opinion leaders regarding the best methods for the control of feral cat populations.^{1,4-7} Attempted control of feral cat populations through IQ range

INR programs is an increasingly popular alternative to mass euthanasia.[®] These programs involve capture and neutering of the cats, followed by their return to their olonies to live out their normal life spans. Depend

m the Department of Small Animal Clinical Sciences, College o teerinary Medicine, University of Florida, Gamesville, FL 32610 Fischer, Quest, Tucker, Friary, Crawford, Levy); the Animal Health jagnostic Center, College of Veterinary Medicine, Cornell University, haca, NY 14852 (Dubovi); and Department of Diagnostic Medicin and Pathobiology, College of Veterinary Medicine, Kansas State ries, vaccination, regular feeding, parasite treatment, and removal of socialized cats for adoption.⁸⁹ University Manhattan, KS 66506 (Davis, Ricke). the authors thank Maury Swee, Michael Reese, Erin Patterson, Kristin MacDonald, Ray Smith, Chi Frost, Susan M. Moore, Lindsay Smith, Jen Regis, Linda Wilks, Terry Darling, and Beth Orcutt for

rrespondence to Dr. Levy

Scientific Reports: Original Study

JAVMA, Vol 230, No. 1, Jenuary 1, 2007

Trap-neuter-return

Modified-live virus

Feline herpesvirus Feline calicivirus

Multivalent vaccinagainst FPV, FHV, FCV, and Fel V

The range from the 25th

Canine distemper virus

Canine parvovirus

to the 75th percentiles of the data

Rabies virus Feline panleukopenia

virus

ing on the program involved, a variety of other service: may also be provided for the cats, including assessmen for infectious diseases, treatment of illnesses and inju-

Many public health care guidelines concernin both humans and animals advise against vaccine administration during anesthesia or surgery,^{10,11} whereas

some guidelines do not mention vaccination in these

Tiletamine, zolazepar

ketamine, and xylazi



Fischer, S. M., Quest, C. M., Dubovi, E. J., Davis, R. D., Tucker, S. J., Friary, J. A., ... Levy, J. K. (2007). Response of feral cats to vaccination at the time of neutering. Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association, 230(1), 52–58.

- TNVR: Trap-Neuter-Vaccinate-Return
- Emphasis on the "V"
 - Vaccination
 - Emphasizes the public health aspect of TNVR programs
 - Specifically, vaccinating against rabies as a means of protecting the health of the public



- The only *humane* way to deal with the problem of community (feral, freeroaming) cats.
- When properly applied, TNVR has been shown to help control/reduce the population of free-roaming cats.





- Conclusions
 - Feral cats appeared to have excellent immune response following vaccination at the time of neutering
 - Incorporation of vaccination into TNR programs is likely to protect the health of individual cats and possibly reduce the disease burden in the community

Every Kitty, Every City FLORIDA

Fischer, S. M., Quest, C. M., Dubovi, E. J., Davis, R. D., Tucker, S. J., Friary, J. A., ... Levy, J. K. (2007). Response of feral cats to vaccination at the time of neutering. *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association*, 230(1), 52–58.

"What we see depends mainly on what we look for." John Lubbock





When germ relationships go bad

Questions?

G. Robert Weedon, DVM, MPH Community Cat Surgeon TLC PetSnip weedondvm@tlcpetsnip.org

TLC PetSnip is a high quality affordable Spay/Neuter & Wellness Clinic.

TLC PetSnip, Inc. Compassionate, Affordable Care...because pets are family

TLC

